

Application Number: 10/573,052
Examiner: HAVLIN, ROBERT H

REMARKS

Claims 2,13 and 17 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102((b) as being anticipated by the CAPLUS Abstract of the Journal of the American Chemical Society (1941) 63,2192-7.

Reconsideration is requested in view of this Amendment.


The CAPLUS reference was applied as anticipating claims 2, 13 and 17 because it disclosed a compound which anticipates the claim when A is furyl, and R is hydrogen as described by formula I. In response, formula I in claim 17 has been amended to exclude hydrogen or C1-C6 alkyl from the definition of formula I. This avoids any anticipatory effect of the CAPLUS reference and for these reasons, the rejection of claim 17 and claims 2 and 13 which depend from claim 17, as being anticipated by CAPLUS should be withdrawn.

The rejection of claims 2, 13 and 17 as being indefinite in the recitation of D as being monocyclic or polycyclic has been overcome by this Amendment which has deleted the recitation that D "can be mono or polycyclic".

The objection to claims 14-16 as being dependent on a rejected base claim has been avoided by the amendment of base claim 17 to place it in condition for allowance.

An early and favorable action is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,


James V. Costigan
Registration No. 25,669

MAILING ADDRESS

Hedman & Costigan, P.C.
1185 Avenue of the Americas
New York, N.Y. 10036-2646
(212) 302-8989